




Semarak Journal of Thermal-Fluid Engineering

Journal homepage:
<https://semarakilmu.my/index.php/sjotfe/index>
ISSN: 3030-6639



Simulation Analysis for the Distribution of Fire Water Sprinkler

Foong Meng Kit¹, Ishkrizat Taib^{1,*}, Mohamad Hisyamuddin Ishak¹, Mohamad Faris Fauzi¹, Tengku Izzat Azraei Tengku Mohamad Muhibuddin¹, Ahmad Mubarak Tajul Arifin¹, Muhammadu Masin Muhammadu²

¹ Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Manufacturing, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, 86400 Parit raja, Batu Pahat, Johor, Malaysia

² Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Federal University of Technology, Minna (FUTMINNA), Nigeria

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 1 February 2025

Received in revised form 26 February 2025

Accepted 15 March 2025

Available online 27 March 2025

Keywords:

Computer Fluid Dynamics (CFD); fire water sprinkler, distribution of discharged water; simulation

ABSTRACT

Fire Water Sprinkler is one of reliable equipment to control fire in building. This equipment also known as a simple and cost-effective method to control fire growth in a building. The systems work by blowing the water droplet into the burning area. The objective of this project is to analyze the behaviour and distribution of discharged water from fire water sprinkler. The computer fluid dynamics (CFD) is used to study the dispersion of water into the room or case study area. However, due to limitation of study, this simulation only carried out by using a room and single fire water sprinkler. This also important factor as the validation of the model is based on previous study. In addition, the project also to determine the effect of different operating pressure on water discharged from fire sprinkler. The project started with the data finding. It was important to identify the reference data to ensure the generate result was reasonable. The input water inlet pressure is in the range of 10 to 15 bar. The geometry of room was set to 4.0 m x 3.0 m x 4.0 m. The fire was assumed to start at the rectangular table which is the dimension is 1.0 m x 1.0 m and 0.5 m above the floor in the middle room. For boundary condition, the inlet parameter is velocity and the input magnitude set at 44.7 m/s under 10 bars. 1000 number of iterations was set in this project. The results showed the model of 15 bar operating pressure was managed to dispense the water jet down to rectangular table. The 15-bar operating pressure of the model has greatest velocity discharged up to 12.896 m/s. As conclusion, the operating pressure was affected the discharged of the water velocity. The increase of the operating pressure was led to greater water velocity discharged.

1. Introduction

There are many types of the fire water sprinkler that applied in room in the building. The fire water sprinkler was applied in room to prevent the fire spread to the whole building [1,2]. The fire water sprinkler heat was detected any heat or high temperature, the valve will open and release the high pressure of water from pipe [3]. The water that released were distributed for the whole building. The types of the fire water sprinkler have different types of the water distribution and different time

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: iszat@uthm.edu.my

rate to distribute for the whole building. The distribution and time rate of the water from fire water sprinkler was contributed to the efficiency of fire water sprinkler function [4]. However, there were many factors that can affect the dispersion of water into the room. So, computational fluid dynamic (CFD) software was chosen to conduct the simulation regarding the dispersion of fire water sprinkler [5-7]. The purpose of the simulation was to understand and analysis the distribution of water dispersion for future improvement regarding the dispersion of water by using fire water sprinkler.

The method that used in fire water sprinkler is water-based fire suppression [8,9]. This method was widely used due to their effectiveness, simplicity and accessibility [10,11]. Furthermore, the fire water sprinkle also used to prevent fire growth by dispersing water over a wide area within the fire environment [12,13]. It also one of spray dispersion method that simple and cost-effective to reduce fire growth [14,15].

2. Methodology

2.1 Research Methodology

In order to carry an experiment, some of parameter is set differently to achieve result expected. Based on Link et al., four nozzles were arranged in a square configuration typical of fire sprinkler system installations [10]. Volume flux of water delivered to the floor was measured 1.5 m below the array at locations on a 50 mm grid. The total mean volume flux was 5.9 mm/min, with local measurements ranging from 25% to nearly 400% of the mean value. As in Malaysia market, the fire sprinkler head designed to operate under working water pressure of 12 bar. In this case, the input of water inlet pressure is in the range of 10 to 15 bar. The inlet would be set as velocity inlet after the water velocity values were obtained. Table 1 shows the conversion between bar, mm H₂O and water velocity by using Engineering Toolbox Converter.

Table 1

Velocity-head conversion

Model	Water velocity inlet (m/s)	Operating pressure (mm H ₂ O)	Operating pressure (bar)
A	44.7	101971.62129779	10
B	49.0	122365.94555734801	12
C	51.0	132563.10768712702	13
D	52.9	142760.26981690602	14
E	54.8	152957.431946685	15

2.2 Geometry of the Fire Water Sprinkler

The analyzed room equipped with fire sprinkler on top was a compartment with dimensions of 4.0 m × 3.0 m × 4.0 m. The wall was considered as solid concrete walls. A rectangular table with area of 1.0 m × 1.0 m was placed 0.5 m above the floor in the middle of the room. Figure 1 shows the detailed drawing of the tested room equipped with a fire sprinkler sketched by using SolidWorks 2017.




Fig. 1. Geometry of the model

2.3 Meshing of the Fire Water Sprinkler

The mesh method is set to automatic generation. The proximity and curvature enabled successful refinement on critical regions such as curves and edges. The element size will be altered or refined in Grid Independent Test (GIT) step until there are no significant change towards the desired parameters. The details of fine mesh generated for fire sprinkler region and the first element size generated is 0.08 m.

Mesh metric plays a very important role to indicate the quality achieved during the meshing process [16]. In this project, skewness ratio mesh metric is selected as reference to study the mesh quality produce in building suitable mesh. The maximum given skewness must less than 0.90 or below. Based on Table 2, the obtained skewness is 0.86879 and this showed that first set up element size of 0.08m has produce “Good” quality mesh with total elements of 561215. Table 2 shows the generated of the mesh quality.

Table 2
Mesh quality of Fire water sprinkler

Quality	
Check mesh quality	Yes, errors
Target skewness	Default (0.900000)
Smoothing	Medium
Mesh metric	Skewness
Min	1.0623 e-004
Max	0.86879
Average	0.22556
Standard deviation	0.11862
Statistics	
Nodes	103021
Elements	561215

2.4 Governing Equations

Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes equations (RANS). RANS consists of continuity equation and momentum equation [17,18]. Newtonian and incompressible fluid flow simulation can be solved by using RANS [19,20]. In this study, the flow is assumed in steady state, 3-dimensional and fully developed [21]. Energy equation also will be reviewed.

Continuity equation [22]:

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad (1)$$

Momentum equation:

$$\rho \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} + u_i \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right) = - \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_j} + \mu \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_i \partial x_i} + f_i \quad (2)$$

2.5 Setup of the Model

The model of water flow through fire sprinkler in room is taken as steady state problem. So, it was solved as multiphase (air & water) simulation using the volume of fluid multiphase model. For the phase interaction, the surface tension between air and water is set to 0.072 n/m constant value. Next, for boundary conditions, it required to define the inlet parameters. The surface that had chosen as water inlet can be referred in Figure 2 and it was set as velocity inlet. The velocity magnitude was input as 44.7 ms^{-1} under 10 bar operating water pressured. For air phase in inlet, the volume fraction was selected as zero primarily.




Fig. 2. Selected surface as water inlet

The solution settings can be started by selecting the methods used in simulation. Under pressure-Velocity Coupling, the PISO scheme had been used. For spatial discretization, the least square cell-based technique was implied in gradient and PRESTO! for pressure part. The momentum simulation was set to First Order Upwind in order to increase the stability result obtained. The initialization of display settings can be started with creating new window. First window is used for contour graphic to show the distribution of water in room. This can be done by first adding a new plane which is Plane 8. The scale residuals can be viewed in second window.

The initialization of display settings can be started with creating new window. First window is used for contour graphic to show the distribution of water in room. This can be done by first adding a new plane which is Plane 8. The scale residuals can be viewed in second window. The setting of first window is shown in Figure 3.




Fig. 3. Create of plane 8 to display the water distribution in new window

3. Results

3.1 Grid Independency Test (GIT)

The simulation was run for geometry of a room with the dimension 4.0 m × 3.0 m × 4.0 m. There were 5 Grid Independence Test involved which are the element is 0.08 m, 0.07 m, 0.06 m, 0.05 m and 0.04 m. The difference percentage of GIT 4 and 5 is smallest as 2.98 %, hence the element size of 0.05 m is used for other model in simulation. The factor that choosing in empty geometry condition is because the simulation time consumed is shorter. Table 3 shows the Grid Independence Test with the element size, average velocity magnitude and difference percentage. The result for the average velocity were represented in the graph and comparison between each of element size. Figure 4 shows the graph of the average velocity for each element size.

Table 3

Grid independency test result

GIT	Element size (m)	Number of elements	Average velocity magnitude (m/s)	Difference percentage (%)
1	0.08	561215	1.532589	14.15
2	0.07	727223	1.315678	8.66
3	0.06	978377	1.201763	23.65
4	0.05	1410067	0.917526	2.98
5	0.04	1925447	0.890214	-




Fig. 4. Average velocity

3.2 Variation of Velocity at Different Operating Pressure

In post-processing, the contours were performed to study the water discharged behaviour of fire sprinkler. It can be noticed that the model E (15 bar) manage to dispense the water jet down to rectangular table satisfactory. For other models such as A, B, C and D, the pattern is about the same and the dispensation of water jet is not complete at bottom region near to rectangular table. The

result of water discharged patterns at different operating pressure is shown in velocity contours of Figure 5.

Figure 5(a) shows the dispersion of water by using lowest pressure setting. The results show the velocity contour for model A. The velocity produced at model A is the lowest velocity compare to others model. So that, the dispersion of water from fire sprinkler cannot reach the rectangular table at the floor of the room. Figure 5(b) shows some improvement when the pressure of water was improved. The velocity of the water dispersion also increase and the dispersion of water almost reach the rectangular table. Figure 5(e) shows the most regular dispersion of water from the sprinkler. The dispersion of water reaches the rectangular table at the floor of the room, then it was distributed into the surrounding. Model E shows the uniform distribution water from sprinkler compared to the others model. So that, the most suitable pressure setting for fire sprinkler is as used in the model E which is 15 bar.




Fig. 5. Distribution of water discharged from fire sprinkler at different operating pressure (a) Model A (b) Model B (c) Model C (d) Model D (e) Model E

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, based on the results obtained from the data collection, it shows that the objective is achieved. The pattern of water distribution in room discharged from fire water sprinkler was represented in velocity contour, the figure shows that the pattern is almost the same for all models except model E. In details of study, the effect of operating pressure on water velocity discharged in room from fire sprinkler is evaluated by plotting the velocity profile. It shows that the increase of operating pressure has led to greater water velocity discharged in room. The significant raise of discharged velocity is occurred around the fire sprinkler head. In overall, the trend of velocity profile is going down with height but it shows a slight climb in striking region.

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia through the Fundamental Research Garat Scheme (FRGS/1/2024/TK10/UTHM/02/6) and through MDR grant (Q686).

References

- [1] Wang, Kai, Yingfeng Yuan, Mengmeng Chen, Zhen Lou, Zheng Zhu, and Ruikun Li. "A study of fire drone extinguishing system in high-rise buildings." *Fire* 5, no. 3 (2022): 75. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fire5030075>
- [2] Yi, Xin, Changkui Lei, Jun Deng, Li Ma, Jing Fan, Yuanyuan Liu, Lei Bai, and Chi-Min Shu. "Numerical simulation of fire smoke spread in a super high-rise building for different fire scenarios." *Advances in Civil Engineering* 2019, no. 1 (2019): 1659325. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/1659325>
- [3] Till, Robert C., J. Walter Coon, Robert C. Till, and J. Walter Coon. "Sprinkler systems and their types." *Fire Protection: Detection, Notification, and Suppression* (2019): 89-124. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-90844-1_9
- [4] Grant, G., J. Brenton, and D. Drysdale. "Fire suppression by water sprays." *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* 26, no. 2 (2000): 79-130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1285\(99\)00012-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1285(99)00012-X)
- [5] Jahn, Wolfram. "Using suppression and detection devices to steer CFD fire forecast simulations." *Fire Safety Journal* 91 (2017): 284-290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.firesaf.2017.03.062>
- [6] Liu, Hengrui, Ivan Miguel De Cachinho Cordeiro, Anthony Chun Yin Yuen, Qing Nian Chan, Sanghoon Kook, and Guan Heng Yeoh. "Application of multi-parametric characterization to water-based fire suppression systems in compartment fire scenarios." *Numerical Heat Transfer, Part A: Applications* 83, no. 10 (2023): 1111-1129. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10407782.2021.1872272>
- [7] Liang, Hui, Qian Zhou, Nejdett Erkan, and Shunichi Suzuki. "Effect of spray properties on aerosol scavenging efficiency with water mist." *Aerosol Science and Technology* 56, no. 1 (2021): 29-45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2021.1966377>
- [8] Liu, Hengrui, Cheng Wang, Ivan Miguel De Cachinho Cordeiro, Anthony Chun Yin Yuen, Qian Chen, Qing Nian Chan, Sanghoon Kook, and Guan Heng Yeoh. "Critical assessment on operating water droplet sizes for fire sprinkler and water mist systems." *Journal of Building Engineering* 28 (2020): 100999. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobbe.2019.100999>
- [9] Li, Ying Zhen, and Haukur Ingason. "Parametric study of design fires for tunnels with water-based fire suppression systems." *Fire Safety Journal* 120 (2021): 103107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.firesaf.2020.103107>
- [10] Link, E. D., S. J. Jordan, T. M. Myers, P. B. Sunderland, and A. W. Marshall. "Spray dispersion measurements of a sprinkler array." *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute* 36, no. 2 (2017): 3305-3311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proci.2016.06.056>
- [11] Liu, Hengrui, Ivan Miguel De Cachinho Cordeiro, Anthony Chun Yin Yuen, Qing Nian Chan, Sanghoon Kook, and Guan Heng Yeoh. "Application of multi-parametric characterization to water-based fire suppression systems in compartment fire scenarios." *Numerical Heat Transfer, Part A: Applications* 83, no. 10 (2023): 1111-1129. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10407782.2022.2102394>
- [12] Ren, Ning, Howard R. Baum, and André W. Marshall. "A comprehensive methodology for characterizing sprinkler sprays." *Proceedings of the Combustion Institute* 33, no. 2 (2011): 2547-2554. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proci.2010.06.107>

- [13] Abdulrahman, Shehu A., Khaled Chetehouna, Axel Cablé, Øyvind Skreiberg, and Maurice Kadoche. "A review on fire suppression by fire sprinklers." *Journal of Fire Sciences* 39, no. 6 (2021): 512-551. <https://doi.org/10.1177/07349041211013698>.
- [14] Myers, Taylor, Arnaud Trouvé, and Andre Marshall. "Predicting sprinkler spray dispersion in FireFOAM." *Fire Safety Journal* 100 (2018): 93-102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.firesaf.2018.07.008>.
- [15] Kuznetsov, Geniy, Nikolay Kopylov, Elena Sushkina, and Alena Zhdanova. 2022. "Adaptation of fire-fighting systems to localization of fires in the premises: Review." *Energies* 15 (2): 522. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en15020522>
- [16] Kuznetsov, Geniy, Nikolay Kopylov, Elena Sushkina, and Alena Zhdanova. "Adaptation of fire-fighting systems to localization of fires in the premises." *Energies* 15, no. 2 (2022): 522. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00466-021-02097-z>
- [17] Simsek, Oguz, and Huseyin Islek. "2D and 3D numerical simulations of dam-break flow problem with RANS, DES, and LES." *Ocean Engineering* 276 (2023): 114298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2023.114298>
- [18] Tiberger, Marco, Aldo Hennink, Jan Leen Kloosterman, and Danny Lathouwers. "A high-order discontinuous Galerkin solver for the incompressible RANS equations coupled to the $k-\epsilon$ turbulence model." *Computers & Fluids* 212 (2020): 104710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compfluid.2020.104710>
- [19] Pakhomov, Maksim A., and Uzak K. Zhabbasbayev. "RANS modeling of turbulent flow and heat transfer of non-Newtonian viscoplastic fluid in a pipe." *Case Studies in Thermal Engineering* 28 (2021): 101455. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2021.101455>
- [20] Zheng, E. Z., M. Rudman, J. Singh, and S. B. Kuang. "Direct numerical simulation of turbulent non-Newtonian flow using OpenFOAM." *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 72 (2019): 50-67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2019.03.003>
- [21] Janardhan, Rahul Kallada, Saani Shakil, Marko Hassinen, Wei Lu, Jari Puttonen, and Simo Hostikka. "Impact of firefighting sprays on the fire performance of structural steel members." *Fire Technology* 58, no. 4 (2022): 2405-2440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10694-022-01257-8>
- [22] Kim, Taehoon. "Resolving the initial spray structure of fire sprinklers with a volume-of-fluid modeling." *Fire Safety Journal* 133 (2022): 103641. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.firesaf.2022.103641>