SEMARAK ILMU
PUBLISHING
202103268166(003316878-P)

Semarak International Journal of Machine Learning

Journal homepage:
https://semarakilmu.my/index.php/sijml/index
ISSN: 3030-5241

Revolutionizing Financial Services with Quantum Machine Learning Techniques

Dhivya Jayakumar[1,*], Srividhya Selvaraj[2]

[1] Sri Ramakrishna College of Arts and Science for Women, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India
[2] KPR College of Arts Science and Research, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received
Received in revised form
Accepted
Available online

Keywords:
Quantum Machine Learning; Quantum Graph Neural Networks; Quantum Variational Classifiers; Quantum Kernel Estimation and Quantum Neural Networks

ABSTRACT

Modern quantum machine learning algorithms and techniques are reviewed in this review paper with possible financial applications. Along with quantum generative AI techniques like Quantum Transformers and Quantum Graph Neural Networks (QGNNs), we discuss QML techniques in supervised learning tasks like Quantum Variational Classifiers, Quantum Kernel Estimation, and Quantum Neural Networks (QNNs). Risk management, credit scoring, fraud detection, and stock price prediction are among the financial applications that are taken into consideration. Additionally, we offer a summary of QML's drawbacks, possibilities, and restrictions in these particular domains as well as more widely throughout the field. With the help of this, we hope to provide data scientists, financial industry professionals, and enthusiasts with a quick overview of why quantum computing, and QML in particular, might be worthwhile to investigate in their respective fields of expertise.

1. Introduction

Machine learning and data science have been greatly impacted by quantum computing, which is a revolutionary and radically new paradigm in computation. The combination of quantum computing and machine learning has attracted a lot of attention as machine learning continues to drive technological developments in data science, with the goal of going beyond the limitations of traditional machine learning techniques. Quantum Machine Learning (QML) was first introduced in the mid-1990s, when it was first investigating the ideas of quantum learning theory [1]. But it wasn't until about eighteen years ago—after the groundbreaking work by Harrow, Hassidim, and Lloyd [2]—that the field began to receive significant attention. Numerous studies in the supervised and unsupervised learning domains were made possible by this groundbreaking work [3-10]. Quantum algorithms have the potential to achieve significant speedups over their classical computing counterparts, sometimes even exponential ones, by utilizing non-classical properties like entanglement, superposition and interference. Even though these kinds of speedups have been

*Corresponding author.
E-mail address: dhivyacom@srcw.ac.in

https://doi.org/10.37934/sijml.3.1.110a

shown for certain particular problems, obtaining them in the field of data science and, moreover, for industry applications, continues to be a challenge that is actively being addressed by QML research.

Rather than providing a comprehensive overview of the field of quantum machine learning as done in [11-13] we concentrate on methods and techniques that parallel classical approaches used in finance in this succinct and straightforward review. Our goal is to draw attention to the opportunities, difficulties, and potential benefits of using QML in the financial industry. Financial professionals, including data scientists and managers, can also benefit from this review as it provides them with up-to-date knowledge on QML advancements that are pertinent to their industry. By doing this, this review can facilitate the adoption of cutting-edge technologies, support decision-making processes, and foster a more thorough understanding of how QML can enhance financial services without resorting to hype.

Based on the type of algorithm and data, QML can be broadly divided into three areas: quantum algorithms on classical data, classical algorithms on quantum data, and quantum algorithms on quantum data. Since the first category is most relevant to financial applications like fraud detection, stock price prediction, risk management, and credit scoring, our review focuses on the interaction between classical data and quantum algorithms. Within this scope, we discuss the latest developments in Graph Neural Networks, Generative AI models, and Gradient Boosted Tree techniques' quantum counterparts for super-vised learning.

Initially, we go over a few of the most important and popular methods from classical machine learning that are applied to financial applications. Next, we give a succinct, high-level summary of the benefits and drawbacks of quantum machine learning, shedding light on the state of the field as well as its future prospects. We choose three categories of QML algorithms and analyze them with the intended applications in mind, taking inspiration from classical techniques. Lastly, we wrap up the review with a few conversations

2. Classical Machine Learning Applications in Finance

We briefly review the different applications of classical machine learning techniques in the financial services industry in this section. These uses include risk management and credit scoring, as well as fraud detection, stock price forecasting, and recommendation systems-based personalization. Machine learning's sophisticated capabilities help all of these fields by increasing efficacy, efficiency, and accuracy. We explore specific methods and their effects in these important financial areas below.

2.1 Credit Scoring

Estimating the risk associated with lending to a particular individual or business is a key challenge in financial services. The measure of risk associated with selling loans typically informs the price offered to an individual or business and is a key component in ensuring that a financial institution operates safely and sustainably. Traditionally, credit risk modelling has been undertaken in banks using linear algorithms like logistic regression [14]. However, the availability of large datasets and computing power has enabled the adoption of more modern machine learning and deep learning techniques. Studies comparing these modern techniques to traditional algorithms have found that deep learning outperforms logistic regression modelling, with gradient-boosted trees often identifying customer risk most accurately [15,16]. Additionally, it has been demonstrated that using graph convolutional networks to extract features that represent a company's financial environment improves credit risk estimation [17], suggesting that these algorithms can offer a more comprehensive perspective of a business when estimating credit risk.

2.2 Risk Management and Compliance

Lenders must adhere to regulatory requirements and work within a defined risk appetite. For investment banks, estimating portfolio risk is a major challenge. The gradient-boosted algorithm Adaboost was found to be the most effective when a number of approaches for calculating the value-at-risk in a stock portfolio were compared in earlier research [18]. Several banks have recently embraced generative AI's capacity to produce text that resembles human language in order to guarantee that their communications adhere to legal frameworks [19]. It is anticipated that as this technology develops, its application will spread more widely because it has the ability to significantly streamline compliance procedures.

2.3 Fraud Detection

Lenders can minimize financial losses, safeguard clients, and foster trust by using automated systems to detect fraudulent transactions and behaviors. These systems eliminate the need for human transaction analysis, which can be costly and inaccurate. Boosted trees [21], artificial neural networks [22], and support vector machines (SVMs) [20] are well-liked algorithms that demonstrate good performance in predicting fraud.

2.4 Stock Price Prediction

Predicting future stock prices is an essential but difficult task in financial services, as it guides investment strategies and risk management. Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks are one of the deep learning-based approaches that can capture complex relationships and outperform conventional forecasting techniques like ARIMA [23]. Additionally, time series can be accurately classified and forecasted using methods like Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), which produce new data [24].

2.5 Implications

The implications of integrating Quantum Machine Learning (QML) into financial services are significant and multifaceted. Here are some key implications based on the content of the document:

i. Enhanced Risk Management: QML can improve the accuracy of risk assessments and portfolio management. For instance, the use of gradient-boosted algorithms like Adaboost has shown effectiveness in calculating value-at-risk, which is crucial for investment banks As QML techniques evolve, they may provide even more sophisticated models for predicting and managing financial risks.
ii. Improved Fraud Detection: Automated systems powered by QML can enhance the detection of fraudulent transactions. By utilizing advanced algorithms such as boosted trees and neural networks, financial institutions can minimize losses and protect clients more effectively This could lead to increased trust and security in financial transactions.
iii. Advanced Predictive Analytics: QML techniques, such as Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks and Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs), can significantly improve stock price predictions and market analysis. These methods can capture complex relationships in data that traditional models may miss, leading to better-informed investment strategies.

iv. Regulatory Compliance: The ability of generative AI to produce human-like text can streamline compliance processes within financial institutions. As regulations become more complex, QML can help ensure that communications and operations adhere to legal frameworks, potentially reducing the risk of non-compliance
v. Innovation in Algorithm Development: The challenges faced in QML, such as training difficulties and quantum noise, may inspire new algorithms in classical machine learning. This cross-pollination of ideas could lead to breakthroughs in both fields, enhancing the overall landscape of data science and machine learning.
vi. Challenges In Implementation: Despite its potential, the integration of QML into financial services is not without challenges. Issues such as the difficulty of uploading classical data into quantum states and the presence of hardware noise can hinder the practical application of QML. Addressing these challenges will be crucial for realizing the full benefits of QML in finance.
vii. Future Research Directions: The ongoing research in QML could lead to the development of new quantum-inspired algorithms that may outperform classical methods in various applications, including finance. This highlights the importance of continued investment in QML research to unlock its full potential 6, 6.

In summary, the implications of QML in financial services are profound, offering opportunities for enhanced risk management, fraud detection, predictive analytics, and regulatory compliance, while also presenting challenges that need to be addressed for successful implementation.

3. Limitations of Quantum Machine Learning

The process of uploading encoded classical data into quantum states on quantum registers and memories, specifically QRAM, is still a significant challenge, even with QML models that demonstrate promise with quantum data. This is especially true when dealing with large datasets.

Difficulties in the Quantum Training Landscape: A lot of QML algorithms face difficulties during training, like Barren plateaus and local minima, which are comparable to the problem of vanishing gradients in classical machine learning. However, the additional complexity of the quantum landscape, coupled with the presence of hardware noise, amplifies these issues. Furthermore, in an attempt to be more expressive, QML models frequently exploit the entire space of quantum states, which frequently results in the emergence of Barren plateaus. Further research is hoped to uncover novel ways to lessen these constraints, as methods that have proven successful in classical machine learning have not yet been thoroughly adapted and examined in the quantum setting.

Impact of Quantum Noise: In the pre-quantum error-correction era, hardware noise in quantum computations presents a significant challenge for QML, impacting all facets of model performance and necessitating the use of strategies like error mitigation techniques or noise-resilient model design.

4. QML Algorithms for Supervised Learning Tasks

Several QML approaches and architectures have been proposed in the literature for supervised learning tasks, where models learn from labelled data to make predictions or decisions. Some of these architectures have shown promise in outperforming classical approaches. The application of quantum algorithms and techniques to supervised learning tasks is examined in this section.

Particular attention is paid to techniques and methods that are frequently employed in data science and finance, such as Quantum Neural Networks (QNN) and Gradient Boosted Trees (GBT).

4.1 Quantum Analogue for GBT?

A machine learning method called Gradient Boosted Trees (GBT) is related to decision trees. It works by combining several weak learners, or usually shallow decision trees, to produce a strong predictive model. Classification and regression tasks are two areas in which GBT excels, giving them versatility in supervised learning. It is important to note that the decision tree has been studied in [32], where Grover's search algorithm [33] is used to search over the tree more efficiently while utilizing quantum entropy for node splitting and quantum fidelity for data clustering. However, there hasn't been any more research done on the subject in quantum machine learning. Because there isn't a precise quantum equivalent method, we concentrate on pertinent methods in this section that are related to the uses of GBT.

Although there is currently no precise quantum counterpart for GBT, QML provides a number of substitute techniques to improve supervised learning. The primary similarity is in using quantum-enhanced feature spaces to improve supervised learning tasks. The goal of these quantum algorithms is to represent feature space in higher dimensions, which may enable the capture of intricate data relationships and increase task accuracy. This is the subject of the following section.

4.2 Supervised Learning with Quantum-Enhanced Feature Spaces

Two quantum algorithms for near-term quantum devices are introduced in this work [3]: Quantum Kernel Estimation, which optimizes a classical SVM on a quantum computer, and Quantum Variational Classifier, which resembles conventional SVMs. Both apply the idea of using the quantum state space as a feature space and provide benefits that are difficult to replicate in a classical setting.

Introduction of quantum SVMs in [8]. Applications of noisy intermediate-scale quantum computers to machine learning are also investigated in this study. The Quantum Variational Classifier operates in four steps: mapping classical data to a quantum state, applying a short-depth quantum circuit, performing binary measurements, and using a decision rule based on empirical distribution. The classification success rate for circuit depths greater than 1 is nearly 100%, according to the experimental results, and depth 4 exhibits the best performance when decoherence effects are taken into account. The classifier uses 20,000 shots for running classification experiments as opposed to 2,000 for training, and it is trained on three datasets per depth, completing 20 classifications per trained set. For both the training and classification stages, the Quantum Kernel Estimation algorithm uses a quantum computer to estimate the SVM kernel.

The kernel is first estimated by the quantum computer for every pair of training samples. Using the support vectors from the optimization, the quantum computer is used to estimate the kernel for a new data point during the classification phase. This provides enough information to build the entire SVM classifier. Using feature map circuits, inner product estimation for the kernel is done directly from transition amplitudes. By repeatedly measuring the final state in the standard computational basis, the transition probability is estimated. With two test sets reaching 100% and a third averaging 94.75% success, it achieves high classification success. The efficacy of this approach is demonstrated by its capacity to preserve the kernel's positive semi definiteness in the face of sampling errors.

These quantum approaches are explored in more detail in other studies, such as [34] and [35]. In particular, [35] tests the circuit-centric quantum classifier on datasets such as CANCER, SONAR, WINE, SEMEION, and MNIST256, benchmarking it against classical methods. Even with fewer parameters, the quantum classifier frequently performs better than or on par with classical models such as MLPshal and MLPdeep; however, in certain instances, it exhibits overfitting, indicating the necessity for enhanced regularization strategies.

4.2.1 Provable QML Algorithms in this area

The most noteworthy recent advancements in this field (since 2023) include [36], which shows how variational quantum classifiers and quantum kernel SVMs have a quantum advantage when solving specific challenging complexity theory 7 problems. This suggests that Bounded-Error Quantum Polynomial-Time decision problems may be potentially efficient. A noteworthy addition is [37], emphasizing the effectiveness of quantum SVMs. For certain datasets, it achieves a provable exponential speedup over classical algorithms by designing kernel functions using quantum circuits. Quantitative analysis indicates that the dual formulation can be solved in O(M4.67/ǫ2) evaluations, where M is the size of the data set and is the solution. The complexity of solving the dual formulation is estimated at O(minM2/ǫ6,1/ǫ10) quantum circuit evaluations

4.3 Quantum Neural Networks

Parameterized Quantum Circuits (PQCs) are an essential component of many QML models. The unitary gates in these circuits are parameterized and operate on quantum states to encode the classical data [11]. Among the parameterized circuits are quantum gates, which have free parameters (θ) that can be tuned via training to solve particular issues. It has been demonstrated that classical feedforward neural networks can be formally embedded into PQCs [38]. PQCs and neural networks have a conceptual quantum analogy. Certain PQCs are sometimes referred to as QNNs in the QML literature, and on occasion, QNNs are thought of as a subclass of Variational Quantum Algorithms (VQA) [13]. When a PQC is used in a data science context, the term QNN is used practically (mostly when the data is classical and The quantum algorithm is used. A QNN's purpose is to map states from distinct classes to distinguishable regions within the Hilbert space. It is specifically related to supervised classification tasks.

It is possible to realize QNNs in various architectures. For a straightforward classification, consider the following three instances: dissipative QNNs, convolutional QNNs, and other models where the number of qubits in the model is increased, preserved, or decreased in each layer. As previously mentioned, dissipative QNNs generalize the classical feedforward network [11]. The classical data is converted into quantum states and sent to a QNN in a standard QNN architecture. There, the quantum circuit is applied, and all of the qubits are measured at the conclusion. This is an illustration of a QNN architecture in which the qubit count is maintained. One example of a QNN architecture where the number of qubits is decreased is convolutional QNN, which was studied in [39] and shown to have good classification performance. Qubits are measured and eliminated in each layer in order to decrease the data's dimension while keeping its pertinent features. Additionally, [13] has examined the trainability and capacities of QNNs and has shown how they can be implemented on actual hardware. In general, QNNs and their different architectures are one of the main and active areas of research in QML [11,40,41].

4.4 QML Techniques Related to Credit Scoring and Risk Management

Quantum machine learning (QML) has been applied to finance in recent studies for credit scoring and financial risk assessment. First, we present the paper [42], which proposes a quantum-enhanced machine learning technique for credit rating prediction 8. This model exhibits promising results when applied to a neutral atom quantum processor with up to 60 qubits. It performs competitively, has better interpretability, and requires training times that are comparable to those of the most advanced random forest models. The authors of [44] investigate the use of quantum machine learning to improve financial forecasting. They improve precision over the classical random forest by almost 6% by incorporating both classical and quantum determinantal point processes into Random Forest models. They also create compound and orthogonal layer quantum neural network architectures for credit risk evaluation. These models demonstrate the efficiency of classical performance with significantly fewer parameters.

Finally, [45] focuses on Value-at-Risk and Potential Future Exposure while examining the broad potential of quantum computing in financial risk management. While acknowledging the viability of conceptual solutions and small-scale circuits, the study also draws attention to issues that face real-world applications, including the requirement for more qubits in the hardware and the need to reduce quantum noise. One of the earliest works in this field of applications is by [46], in which the authors show how to convert these difficulties into a quadratic unconstrained binary optimization (QUBO) problem that quantum annealers can solve. This method's potential for optimizing credit analysis features was demonstrated through testing it on a quantum simulator (more information is provided in [47]).

5. QGENAI Technique

The quantum counterpart of classical Stochastic Neural Networks (SNN) is the Quantum Circuit Born Machine (QCBM), which was first described by Benedetti et al. [51]. Randomness in QCBM does not come from sampling after each layer; rather, it comes from intrinsic properties of quantum mechanics. The main idea is to generate a tunable discrete probability distribution that approximates a target distribution using a Quantum Neural Network (QNN). The desired distribution is produced by manipulating an initial quantum state using a Parametrized Quantum Circuit (PQC). The topology of the entangling layers in PQCs has a significant impact on the performance of QCBM; optimal performance is attained when the topology aligns with the hardware architectures. Numerous generative learning tasks have been tackled with QCBM [64].QCBM has been applied in the field of finance to acquire empirical financial data.

Amin *et al.*'s proposed Quantum Boltzmann Machine (QBM) is a quantum variant of the classical Boltzmannmachine (BM) [52]. It prepares the Boltzmann distribution, which estimates discrete target distributions, using quantum devices. In QBM, qubits take the place of BMs' units, and a quantum Hamiltonian takes the place of the energy term in the classical BMs' Hamiltonian. One popular model is the transverse-field Ising model, where the Hamiltonian is designed to have trainable parameters [52,64]. Through optimization techniques, QBM updates the target distribution's parameters in an effort to minimize the negative log-likelihood. The training procedure of QBM, which includes computing gradients using both positive and negative phases, is a crucial component. The Boltzmann average is referred to as the positive phase, and the exponential complexity makes the negative phase sampling NP-hard.

5.1 Quantum Generative Adversarial Network (QGAN)

A novel technique in QGenAI, Quantum Generative Adversarial Network (QGAN) was first conceptualized by Lloyd and Weedbrook [4]. QGANs use a generator and a discriminator to play a two-player minimax game, adhering to the structure of classical GANs. But QGANs are different in that these parts are often built using QNNs rather than traditional deep neural networks. Because QGANs use quantum resources, they can estimate both discrete and continuous distributions, potentially providing computational advantages over classical GANs [4,75,76]. For a variety of applications, including quantum chemistry calculations [77], image creation [78], and finance [79], several forms of QGANs have been investigated.

6. Conclusion and Discussions

This brief review paper describes some promising applications of QML algorithms in finance. Graph Neural Networks, generative AI models, and supervised learning tasks were the main topics of our investigation into quantum improvements to traditional machine learning techniques. Our analysis demonstrates the potential of QML in the financial sector for tasks like fraud detection, stock price prediction, risk management, and credit scoring. After analyzing our results, it is clear that some QML subfields, algorithms, and techniques have more potential and utility for finance in the short- and long-term.

Quantum Variational Classifier and Quantum Kernel Estimation algorithms show promise for enhancement in tasks such as risk management and credit scoring in the near future, making them viable choices for implementation on Noisy Intermediate-Scale Quantum (NISQ) devices. Even though some current algorithms have high classification success rates, more testing on actual hardware is required to confirm their effectiveness in comparison to traditional techniques. Adoption of these techniques could prove beneficial even with the lack of a provable exponential quantum advantage in this field, given their hybrid nature and the fact that these algorithms are less costly and resource-intensive than the fault-tolerant ones. This is especially true if they offer significant improvements in precision or other metrics.

Even though QML offers many advantages, it's important to recognize its drawbacks and restrictions, like the difficulty of efficiently uploading data and the difficulties of training in the quantum environment. In the long run, QML could lead to revolutionary developments in data science and machine learning. We can push innovation in a variety of industries and open up new vistas in computational science by solving current problems and fully utilizing quantum technologies. Furthermore, despite the technological difficulties presented by quantum computing hardware, the study of quantum algorithms provides inspiration for the development of novel algorithms in classical machine learning, including the potential emergence of new generations of quantum-inspired algorithms. This highlights the importance of QML research from various application perspectives.

References

[1] Bshouty, Nader H., and Jeffrey C. Jackson. "Learning DNF over the uniform distribution using a quantum example oracle." In *Proceedings of the eighth annual conference on Computational learning theory*, pp. 118-127. 1995. https://doi.org/10.1145/225298.225312

[2] Harrow, Aram W., Avinatan Hassidim, and Seth Lloyd. "Quantum algorithm for linear systems of equations." *Physical review letters* 103, no. 15 (2009): 150502. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.150502

[3] Havlíček, Vojtěch, Antonio D. Córcoles, Kristan Temme, Aram W. Harrow, Abhinav Kandala, Jerry M. Chow, and Jay M. Gambetta. "Supervised learning with quantum-enhanced feature spaces." *Nature* 567, no. 7747 (2019): 209-212. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0980-2

[4] Lloyd, Seth, and Christian Weedbrook. "Quantum generative adversarial learning." *Physical review letters* 121, no. 4 (2018): 040502. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.121.040502

[5] Wiebe, Nathan, Daniel Braun, and Seth Lloyd. "Quantum algorithm for data fitting." *Physical review letters* 109, no. 5 (2012): 050505. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.050505
[6] Aïmeur, Esma, Gilles Brassard, and Sébastien Gambs. "Quantum clustering algorithms." In *Proceedings of the 24th international conference on machine learning*, pp. 1-8. 2007. https://doi.org/10.1145/1273496.1273497
[7] Lloyd, Seth, Masoud Mohseni, and Patrick Rebentrost. "Quantum principal component analysis." *Nature physics* 10, no. 9 (2014): 631-633. https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys3029
[8] Rebentrost, Patrick, Masoud Mohseni, and Seth Lloyd. "Quantum support vector machine for big data classification." *Physical review letters* 113, no. 13 (2014): 130503. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.113.130503
[9] Schuld, Maria, Ilya Sinayskiy, and Francesco Petruccione. "The quest for a quantum neural network." *Quantum Information Processing* 13 (2014): 2567-2586. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-014-0809-8
[10] Cerezo, Marco, Andrew Arrasmith, Ryan Babbush, Simon C. Benjamin, Suguru Endo, Keisuke Fujii, Jarrod R. McClean et al. "Variational quantum algorithms." *Nature Reviews Physics* 3, no. 9 (2021): 625-644. https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-021-00348-9
[11] Cerezo, Marco, Guillaume Verdon, Hsin-Yuan Huang, Lukasz Cincio, and Patrick J. Coles. "Challenges and opportunities in quantum machine learning." *Nature Computational Science* 2, no. 9 (2022): 567-576. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-022-00311-3
[12] Biamonte, Jacob, Peter Wittek, Nicola Pancotti, Patrick Rebentrost, Nathan Wiebe, and Seth Lloyd. "Quantum machine learning." *Nature* 549, no. 7671 (2017): 195-202. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature23474
[13] Abbas, Amira, David Sutter, Christa Zoufal, Aurélien Lucchi, Alessio Figalli, and Stefan Woerner. "The power of quantum neural networks." *Nature Computational Science* 1, no. 6 (2021): 403-409. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43588-021-00084-1
[14] Mestiri, Sami, and Manel Hamdi. "Credit Risk Prediction: A comparative study between logistic regression and logistic regression with random effects." *International Journal of Management Science and Engineering Management* 7, no. 3 (2012): 200-204. https://doi.org/10.1080/17509653.2012.10671224
[15] Addo, Peter Martey, Dominique Guegan, and Bertrand Hassani. "Credit risk analysis using machine and deep learning models." *Risks* 6, no. 2 (2018): 38. https://doi.org/10.3390/risks6020038
[16] Shi, Si, Rita Tse, Wuman Luo, Stefano D'Addona, and Giovanni Pau. "Machine learning-driven credit risk: a systemic review." *Neural Computing and Applications* 34, no. 17 (2022): 14327-14339. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-022-07472-2
[17] Martínez, Alejandro, Jordi Nin, Elena Tomás, and Alberto Rubio. "Graph convolutional networks on customer/supplier graph data to improve default prediction." In *Complex Networks X: Proceedings of the 10th Conference on Complex Networks CompleNet 2019 10*, pp. 135-146. Springer International Publishing, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-14459-3_11
[18] Behera, Jyotirmayee, Ajit Kumar Pasayat, Harekrushna Behera, and Pankaj Kumar. "Prediction based mean-value-at-risk portfolio optimization using machine learning regression algorithms for multi-national stock markets." *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence* 120 (2023): 105843. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2023.105843
[19] Barde, Kalpesh, and Parth Atul Kulkarni. "Applications of Generative AI in Fintech." In *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on AI-ML Systems*, pp. 1-5. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1145/3639856.3639893
[20] Gyamfi, Nana Kwame, and Jamal-Deen Abdulai. "Bank fraud detection using support vector machine." In *2018 IEEE 9th Annual Information Technology, Electronics and Mobile Communication Conference (IEMCON)*, pp. 37-41. IEEE, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMCON.2018.8614994
[21] Randhawa, Kuldeep, Chu Kiong Loo, Manjeevan Seera, Chee Peng Lim, and Asoke K. Nandi. "Credit card fraud detection using AdaBoost and majority voting." *IEEE access* 6 (2018): 14277-14284. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2806420
[22] Behera, Tanmay Kumar, and Suvasini Panigrahi. "Credit card fraud detection: a hybrid approach using fuzzy clustering & neural network." In *2015 second international conference on advances in computing and communication engineering*, pp. 494-499. IEEE, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICACCE.2015.33
[23] Yu, Pengfei, and Xuesong Yan. "Stock price prediction based on deep neural networks." *Neural Computing and Applications* 32, no. 6 (2020): 1609-1628. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-019-04212-x
[24] Vuletić, Milena, Felix Prenzel, and Mihai Cucuringu. "Fin-gan: Forecasting and classifying financial time series via generative adversarial networks." *Quantitative Finance* 24, no. 2 (2024): 175-199. https://doi.org/10.1080/14697688.2023.2299466
[25] Cowan, Greig, Salvatore Mercuri, and Raad Khraishi. "Modelling customer lifetime-value in the retail banking industry." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.03038* (2023).

[26] Li, Tingting, and Jingbo Song. "Deep Learning-Powered Financial Product Recommendation System in Banks: Integration of Transformer and Transfer Learning." *Journal of Organizational and End User Computing (JOEUC)* 36, no. 1 (2024): 1-29. https://doi.org/10.4018/JOEUC.343257

[27] McClean, Jarrod R., Sergio Boixo, Vadim N. Smelyanskiy, Ryan Babbush, and Hartmut Neven. "Barren plateaus in quantum neural network training landscapes." *Nature communications* 9, no. 1 (2018): 4812. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07090-4

[28] Cerezo, Marco, Akira Sone, Tyler Volkoff, Lukasz Cincio, and Patrick J. Coles. "Cost function dependent barren plateaus in shallow parametrized quantum circuits." *Nature communications* 12, no. 1 (2021): 1791. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21728-w

[29] Huang, Hsin-Yuan, Richard Kueng, and John Preskill. "Information-theoretic bounds on quantum advantage in machine learning." *Physical Review Letters* 126, no. 19 (2021): 190505. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.190505

[30] Landman, Jonas, Slimane Thabet, Constantin Dalyac, Hela Mhiri, and Elham Kashefi. "Classically approximating variational quantum machine learning with random fourier features." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2210.13200* (2022).

[31] Schuld, Maria, and Nathan Killoran. "Is quantum advantage the right goal for quantum machine learning?." *Prx Quantum* 3, no. 3 (2022): 030101. https://doi.org/10.1103/PRXQuantum.3.030101

[32] Lu, Songfeng, and Samuel L. Braunstein. "Quantum decision tree classifier." *Quantum information processing* 13, no. 3 (2014): 757-770. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11128-013-0687-5

[33] Grover, Lov K. "A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search." In *Proceedings of the twenty-eighth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing*, pp. 212-219. 1996. https://doi.org/10.1145/237814.237866

[34] Schuld, Maria, and Nathan Killoran. "Quantum machine learning in feature hilbert spaces." *Physical review letters* 122, no. 4 (2019): 040504. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.040504

[35] Schuld, Maria, Alex Bocharov, Krysta M. Svore, and Nathan Wiebe. "Circuit-centric quantum classifiers." *Physical Review A* 101, no. 3 (2020): 032308. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.101.032308

[36] Jäger, Jonas, and Roman V. Krems. "Universal expressiveness of variational quantum classifiers and quantum kernels for support vector machines." *Nature Communications* 14, no. 1 (2023): 576. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36144-5

[37] Gentinetta, Gian, Arne Thomsen, David Sutter, and Stefan Woerner. "The complexity of quantum support vector machines." *Quantum* 8 (2024): 1225. https://doi.org/10.22331/q-2024-01-11-1225

[38] Wan, Kwok Ho, Oscar Dahlsten, Hlér Kristjánsson, Robert Gardner, and M. S. Kim. "Quantum generalisation of feedforward neural networks." *npj Quantum information* 3, no. 1 (2017): 36. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-017-0032-4