



## Semarak International Journal of Modern Accounting and Finance

Journal homepage:  
<https://semarakilmu.my/index.php/sijmaf/index>  
ISSN: 3030-6329



# Fintech Without Borders: The International Strategies of Leading Chinese Firms

Liu Renzhong<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Poh-Chuin Teo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Azman Hashim International Business School, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup> Sun FinTech Global Limited, ShenZhen, China

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 29 July 2025

Received in revised form 4 August 2025

Accepted 31 August 2025

Available online 10 October 2025

#### Keywords:

Fintech internationalization; Chinese Fintech; regulatory adaptation; uppsala internationalization model; Product Life Cycle Theory

### ABSTRACT

The international expansion of Chinese Fintech firms represents a critical transformation in the global financial landscape, shaped by rapid technological innovation and shifting regulatory dynamics. This study explores the strategic approaches adopted by leading Chinese Fintech enterprises, such as Alipay and WeChat Pay as they penetrate diverse global markets. Grounded in the Uppsala Internationalization Model and Product Life Cycle Theory, this paper examines how these firms adapt their operational models, navigate regulatory complexity, and overcome cultural and infrastructural challenges abroad. Through analysis of firm-level strategies, regulatory engagement, and ecosystem integration, this paper reveals a hybrid model of internationalization combining mergers, local partnerships, and technological exports. A comparative analysis with global counterparts highlights the influence of China's centralized policy support and the Belt and Road Initiative in accelerating cross-border expansion. The findings underscore the need for Fintech firms to balance technological innovation with regulatory compliance, cultural alignment, and trust-building to ensure sustainable global growth.

## 1. Introduction

Financial technology (Fintech) has become a crucial driver of innovation within the international financial sector [1], catalyzed by Generative AI (GenAI) and the urgency to serve billions of unbanked and underbanked individuals worldwide [2]. Chinese Fintech companies, particularly in mobile payments, digital banking, and personal finance have seen rapid growth and innovation, positioning themselves as a prominent leader in the global financial arena [3]. Amid domestic regulatory changes and market saturation, these enterprises are increasingly expanding overseas to explore growth opportunities, enhance global competitiveness, and advance financial inclusion worldwide [4].

Chinese fintech platforms have significantly expanded their presence in key Southeast Asian markets. For example, Ant Group has leveraged partnerships, cross border payment solutions and

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [liurenzhong@graduate.utm.my](mailto:liurenzhong@graduate.utm.my)

<https://doi.org/10.37934/sijmaf.6.1.916>

region-specific innovations. The fintech industry is characterized by constant innovation, shifting consumer preferences, and rigorous regulatory requirements [5]. The fintech industry is characterized by constant innovation, shifting consumer preferences, and rigorous regulatory requirements [5]. Other influencing factors include political stability, levels of economic growth, and the maturity of financial markets, all of which vary significantly across different regions and countries. These variations significantly influence the internationalization strategies of fintech enterprises.

This paper investigates the internationalization strategies of Chinese Fintech firms through theoretical and empirical lenses. Drawing on models such as the Uppsala Internationalization Model and Product Life Cycle Theory, it explores how firms like Alipay and WeChat Pay adapt to regulatory, cultural, and technological challenges abroad.

Chinese fintech companies such as Alipay and WeChat Pay are rapidly expanding globally, introducing a complex set of challenges that are not yet fully explored in the academic and professional context. Chinese firms followed a different path which reflects a more complicated mix of regulatory and cultural adaptation, and political support, while global fintech growth is generally attributed to technology innovation and customer demand. These companies often face different regulatory environments, digital infrastructure and consumer trust level across different regions. The existing models which are the Uppsala Internationalization Model and the Product Life Cycle Theory provide basic insights into global market penetration, but these theories fall short of understanding Chinese Fintech's rapid, innovation-driven, and government-supported expansion. Therefore, there are insufficient studies in understanding how these firms deal with the challenges while maintaining growth. Moreover, the gap existing between the strategies used by Chinese and Western Fintech companies further complicating the strategic clarity for global competitiveness. Without a more comprehensive understanding, researchers and practitioners will underestimate the sustainability and replicability of China's fintech internationalization paths.

The core objective of this research is to analyze and explain the internationalization strategies adopted by Chinese fintech companies focusing on Ant Group (Alipay) and Tencent (WeChat Pay). This study provide understanding on how these firms expand into global markets with regulatory, technological, and cultural barriers by applying both theoretical and empirical techniques. Besides, the study examines how these strategies differ in application across various regions in Southeast Asia through in-depth case studies and analysis of expert interviews. This research also conducts a comparative analysis between Chinese and Western fintech firms to identify differences in policy support, consumer trust, and market integration. The study aims to provide insights for policymakers, academics, and industry leaders interested in the changing nature of fintech globalization.

This research is significant for several reasons. First, this study fills a gap in the academic literature by exploring how Chinese fintech firms approach internationalization differently compare to Western competitors. This offers a more complete understanding of global fintech dynamics as China's influence grows. Second, the study extends existing theoretical frameworks by applying them in the fast-changing context of digital finance where rapid innovation and regulatory shifts demand greater adaptability. The integration of empirical findings with theory helps researchers to reassess the limitations and relevance of traditional models in today's digital economy. Third, the study has practical implications for fintech companies, regulators, and investors who seek to understand how to enter new markets more effectively. The research findings which include successful strategies and common challenges can drive policy decisions, collaboration initiatives, and compliance planning. Moreover, understanding how Chinese Fintech's provide digital access to underserved group might help achieve broader economic development goals as financial inclusion becomes global concern. This study's insights are useful for countries in Southeast Asia and Africa where Chinese Fintechs are

expanding rapidly and local stakeholders must prepare for both opportunities and challenges from this expansion.

## 2. Historical Background and Early Research Results

An overview of literature review by a systematic literature review (SLR) approach has been provided in Appendix 1. Johansen and Vahlne's Uppsala Internationalization Model (1977) and Vernon's Product Life Cycle Theory (1966) have shaped early research on enterprise internationalization. These two (2) influential frameworks have played a pivotal role in explaining the motivations and methods behind international business expansion. The Uppsala Internationalization Model emphasizes on international expansion by gradually gaining market knowledge and experience, where firms start with familiar market before they venture into riskier markets. This model highlights internationalization as a dynamic learning process, where firms adapt to the complexities of new markets over time. The Product Life Cycle Theory examines the relocation of production and market focus throughout the lifecycle of technology-intensive products. According to this theory, innovation and initial production often take place in the home country due to the need for proximity to key markets and adaptability during early development. As the product moves into maturity, production shifts to countries with lower costs, eventually expanding to developing nations. This framework is particularly relevant for understanding production and market strategies during the global expansion of technology-oriented businesses, such as Fintech companies.

For Chinese Fintech firms, these foundational theories remain pertinent during their initial phases of overseas growth. In early stages, these companies prioritize culturally and economically similar regions. As they mature, they expand into more diverse and challenging regions, adapting to different regulatory environments and consumer needs. For example, Vernon's theory is reflected in Fintech firms modifying products for local market while leveraging core technological strengths.

The researcher then shifts focus to initial studies on the internationalization of Chinese companies. While these studies often emphasize the manufacturing industry, they provide crucial insights into the later expansion of the fintech sector. Research on Chinese companies' internationalization strategies offers valuable perspectives on the behaviors and strategies these firms adopt in the globalization process. Early studies predominantly center on the manufacturing sector, as Chinese outward foreign direct investment (OFDI) initially stemmed from this industry. For instance, China's automobile and electronics industries have attained significant success in global markets by emphasizing localized product development, integrating with international supply chains, and thoroughly understanding consumer preferences in their target markets [6]. These studies provided insights on supply chain integration, localized product development and understanding consumer preferences. However, Fintech companies are different as fintech companies must navigate complex legal and regulatory landscapes during international expansion. Financial services are highly regulated and demand higher levels of adaptability. Arner *et al.*, [7] mentioned that this disparity requires Fintech firms to exhibit greater strategic flexibility and adaptability in their operations.

Additionally, the development of Fintech is deeply rooted in technological innovation, which distinguishes it from the manufacturing industry. While manufacturing innovation often centers around improving production efficiency and supply chain management, Fintech innovation focuses on areas such as data processing, algorithm optimization, artificial intelligence, and user experience. As a result, Fintech companies must not only focus on localizing their products but also invest heavily in continuous technological advancements to stay competitive. This places a higher demand on their technical capabilities and research and development resources.

Lastly, the challenges associated with consumer trust and habits are particularly relevant for the Fintech industry. While manufacturing products are tangible and their value is relatively straightforward, Fintech products involve managing sensitive financial data and personal information. Consumers in different markets have varying levels of trust in online financial services such as payments, lending, and investment platforms. These differences in trust and consumer behavior create substantial challenges for Fintech companies, requiring them to invest significant resources in building trust and addressing concerns around privacy and data security in their international markets.

In conclusion, while foundational frameworks like Uppsala Internationalization Model and Vernon's product life cycle theory provide useful perspectives on internationalization, their application to Fintech requires consideration of industry-specific factors. These include regulatory landscapes, technological advancements, variations in digital infrastructure, and the importance of consumer trust.

### **3. Current and Contemporary Research**

Nowadays, the internationalization of Chinese fintech companies has become a prominent focus for both academic and industry research, particularly on major players like Alipay and WeChat Pay. These efforts have collectively built a robust framework for understanding the distinctive challenges and opportunities encountered by these companies.

#### ***3.1 A Study on Alipay Internationalization Process***

Alipay, now known as Ant Group, is a leading global fintech company that has steadily expanded its international presence, focusing on North America, Europe, Southeast Asia, and particularly countries along the Belt and Road initiative. By analyzing Alipay's international expansion, scholars have identified several key strategies that have contributed to its success. One (1) of the primary strategies is mergers and acquisitions (M&A) and investments. Through strategic investments and acquisitions, such as Kakao Pay in Korea, Paytm in India, and Ascend Money in Thailand Ant Group has been able to rapidly enter and dominate local markets. Another important strategy is technology output, where Ant Group offers a wide range of technology platforms, including payment solutions, risk management tools, and artificial intelligence. These platforms are tailored to meet local market needs by partnering with regional businesses [8]. Lastly, Ant Group has actively engaged with regulators in various markets to ensure that its services align with local regulatory requirements. For instance, in the European market, the company applied for an Electronic Money Institution (EMI) license to comply with regional standards [9].

#### ***3.2 A Study on the Globalization of WeChat Pay***

Tencent's WeChat Pay capitalizes on its vast user base within the WeChat ecosystem, primarily targeting Chinese tourists and the Chinese community, while steadily expanding into key international markets. In the outbound travel sector, WeChat Pay has swiftly implemented payment networks across overseas tourist destinations, shopping districts, and retail outlets, aligning with the increasing trend of Chinese travellers venturing abroad. Additionally, the platform has enhanced its global payment capabilities by forming strategic partnerships with major credit card companies like Visa and Mastercard, thereby expanding its reach and ensuring seamless international transactions [10]. To bolster its global presence, WeChat Pay has also focused on brand development, promoting

the message that "WeChat Pay is Life" to increase its visibility and strengthen its appeal in international markets.

### *3.3 An Analysis of Interviews and Observation*

An analysis of interviews with executives from Ant and Tencent further highlights the role of technological innovation and platform capabilities in their internationalization strategies. Business leaders mentioned the importance of understanding local culture, consumer behaviour, and the regulatory environment in each market [8]. An observational study involving partners, regulators, and industry experts further supports these findings. However, the regulatory response differs across different markets, some regulators are welcoming of Chinese fintech companies, while others impose restrictions.

In conclusion, recent research provides a comprehensive view of the internationalization process of Chinese fintech companies, offering valuable insights from leading enterprises. These studies extend existing theoretical frameworks, highlighting the significance of networking, technology, and collaboration in the global expansion of Chinese fintech companies. They also identify the challenges posed by regulation, trust issues, and cultural differences, which are crucial considerations for these companies' globalization strategies.

## **4. Comparative Study of Chinese Fintech Internationalization and Enterprises in Other Countries**

The characteristics of Chinese Fintech companies during their internationalization process are notably distinct from those of companies in other countries. These contrasts are largely shaped by a unique blend of cultural, political, and economic factors. In this context, a comparative analysis of Fintech companies in China and those in other countries reveals how these factors influence their approaches to global expansion, regulatory challenges, and market adaptation.

### *4.1 Influence of Cultural Factors*

The rapid growth of Fintech companies in China can be attributed to the widespread acceptance of innovative technologies by domestic consumers, particularly in areas such as digital payments and online financial services. A notable example is the widespread use of QR code payments, which have become a standard in China but are less common in many Western countries. However, as these companies expand internationally, they face the challenge of adapting to the unique consumer behaviours and cultural nuances of each target market. This often necessitates collaboration with local businesses to gain a deeper understanding of and better integration into the local culture.

In contrast to their counterparts in the Europe and United States, Chinese Fintech firms prioritize tailored localization strategies and cultural sensitivity when expanding into new markets. For instance, Alibaba and Tencent have demonstrated this approach during their expansions into Southeast Asia and Africa. Beyond offering technical support, these companies have actively invested in local training and education programs, fostering greater awareness and acceptance of Fintech solutions among local populations. This tailored approach underscores their commitment to building trust and relevance in diverse global markets.

#### *4.2 Influence of Political Factors*

The Chinese government has provided substantial support for the Fintech industry, including favorable policies and significant capital investment. At the Central Financial Work Conference in October 2023, clear directives were issued to enhance financial backing for science and technology development, placing it at the forefront of the "five (5) major pillars" of finance. Since 2024, various governmental departments have actively worked to implement these directives, releasing a series of policy documents designed to foster science and technology finance. In 2024, over 16 policy documents have been issued to foster science and technology finance, promoting venture capital, equipment upgrades and Fintech infrastructure. The central bank has introduced specialized re-lending initiatives to encourage financial institutions to enhance funding for small and medium-sized tech firms, enabling their international competitiveness.

This contrasts sharply with the situation in countries like the United States, where innovation is encouraged but direct government support for internationalization efforts is limited. United States companies typically rely on market-driven mechanisms and private investments to fuel their growth and global ambitions. China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) further supports Chinese Fintech expansion. As a comprehensive economic cooperation framework, the BRI provides a platform for trade and investment and significant boost to the global aspirations. Companies like Ant Financial benefit from policy facilitation and financial support, creating a conducive environment for collaboration with financial institutions in BRI-participating countries.

Critically examining this disparity, the Chinese approach reflects a centralized and policy-driven model that accelerates the internationalization process by reducing barriers and providing tailored support. However, it also raises questions about the long-term sustainability and market dependency of such heavily supported enterprises. Conversely, the United States reliance on market forces and private investment promotes a more competitive and resilient fintech ecosystem, albeit one that may face slower initial growth and higher entry barriers in international markets.

#### *4.3 Influence of Economic Factors*

China's economic development from factor-driven to innovation-driven growth has elevated strategic emerging industries like Fintech. Against this backdrop, science and technology finance has emerged as an innovative model that integrates financial services with scientific and technological advancements. This approach serves as a key pathway for supporting technological innovation, promoting high-quality economic growth, and meeting the funding requirements of various industries for research and development. The primary focus of science and technology finance lies in leveraging financial innovations to support technological progress, facilitating a seamless connection between the innovation chain and the financial capital chain.

Chinese fintech companies have capitalized on the opportunities presented by globalization. Rather than focus on specific products or services like many Western firms, Chinese firms focus on developing comprehensive digital ecosystems with a global reach. Chinese Fintech firms have successfully positioned themselves as providers of one-stop solutions in various countries and regions, integrating deeply into local economies and reshaping the global Fintech landscape. For example, Ant Group and Tencent have gained significant global traction by addressing challenges such as currency conversion, regulatory compliance, and payment security. In Southeast Asia, Ant Group has expanded its market presence by investing in local Fintech companies and collaborating with local governments to foster innovation in financial services and payment systems. In contrast,

American firms tend to rely on direct competition or partnerships to enter European and Asian markets, often adopting a more measured approach.

## 5. Conclusion

This paper explored the internationalization of Chinese Fintech firms through theoretical frameworks and empirical analysis. The Uppsala Internationalization Model and Product Life Cycle Theory remain relevant but require adaptation to address Fintech-specific dynamics such as regulatory diversity, technological advancement, and consumer trust [11,12]. Case studies of Chinese Fintech firms, Alipay and WeChat Pay illustrate how leading firms have employed hybrid strategies involving mergers, partnerships, and technological exports to penetrate global markets, especially in Southeast Asia [8,9].

Comparative analysis revealed significant differences between Chinese Fintech companies and their global counterparts in terms of state support, regulatory adaptation, and ecosystem integration [3,4]. Chinese firms benefit from centralized policy backing such as BRI facilitation and targeted re-lending programs, while also demonstrating adaptability through localized infrastructure and cultural sensitivity [13,14]. This study contributes to the broader literature by integrating empirical analysis. Future Fintech firms must balance technological innovation with regulatory alignment and cultural adaptation to achieve sustainable global growth.

## Acknowledgement

This research was not funded by a grant.

## References

- [1] Puschmann, Thomas. "Fintech." *Business & Information Systems Engineering* 59, no. 1 (2017): 69-76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-017-0464-6>
- [2] Goyal, Deepak, Inderpreet Batra, Alexander Paddington, Andrew Janssens, Sooahn Choi, Aaron Cormier, Stefan Dab, et al. 2025. "Prudence, Profits, and Growth." BCG Global. May 13, 2025. <https://www.bcg.com/publications/2024/global-fintech-prudence-profits-and-growth>.
- [3] Han, Alice Siqi. "Chinese fintech companies and their "going out" strategies." *Journal of Internet and Digital Economics* 1, no. 1 (2021): 47-63. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIDE-07-2021-0003>
- [4] He, Yujia. "Chinese FinTech goes global: Political challenges and business strategies." *Asia Policy* 19, no. 1 (2024): 35-50. <https://doi.org/10.1353/asp.2024.a918869>
- [5] Kayode, S. O. "Revolutionizing Financial Landscapes: The Role of Emerging Technologies in Fintech Transformation." (2023).
- [6] Buckley, Peter J., L. Jeremy Clegg, Adam R. Cross, Xin Liu, Hinrich Voss, and Ping Zheng. "The determinants of Chinese outward foreign direct investment." In *International business strategy*, pp. 574-600. Routledge, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400277>
- [7] Arner, Douglas W., Janos Barberis, and Ross P. Buckley. "FinTech, RegTech, and the reconceptualization of financial regulation." *Nw. J. Int'l L. & Bus.* 37 (2016): 371. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320109794\\_FinTech\\_regTech\\_and\\_the\\_reconceptualization\\_of\\_financial\\_regulation](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/320109794_FinTech_regTech_and_the_reconceptualization_of_financial_regulation)
- [8] Chorzempa, Martin, and Yiping Huang. "Chinese fintech innovation and regulation." *Asian Economic Policy Review* 17, no. 2 (2022): 274-292. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aepr.12384>
- [9] Zhu, Viviana. "China's fintech: the end of the wild west." *Policy Paper*. Paris: Institut Montaigne (2021). <https://www.institutmontaigne.org/en/publications/chinas-fintech-end-wild-west>
- [10] Li, Shanshan, He Zhang, Zijia Jia, Chenxing Zhong, Cheng Zhang, Zhihao Shan, Jinfeng Shen, and Muhammad Ali Babar. "Understanding and addressing quality attributes of microservices architecture: A Systematic literature review." *Information and software technology* 131 (2021): 106449. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2020.106449>
- [11] Johanson, J., Vahlne, JE. "The Internationalization Process of the Firm—A Model of Knowledge Development and Increasing Foreign Market Commitments." In *J Int Bus Stud* 8, 23–32 (1977). <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8490676>

- [12] Vernon, Raymond. "International Investment and International Trade in the Product Cycle." In *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 80 (2) (1966): 190. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1880689>.
- [13] Wu, An-Chi, and Duc-Dinh Kao. "Mapping the sustainable human-resource challenges in southeast Asia's FinTech sector." *Journal of Risk and Financial Management* 15, no. 7 (2022): 307. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm15070307>
- [14] Santosdiaz, Richie. "Malaysia'S Fintech Boom: A Growing Force in Southeast Asia." *The Fintech Times*, August 13, 2024. <https://thefintechtimes.com/fintech-landscape-of-malaysia/>.
- [15] Vahlne, Jan-Erik, and Jan Johanson. "From internationalization to evolution: The Uppsala model at 40 years." *Journal of international business studies* 48, no. 9 (2017): 1087-1102. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-017-0107-7>
- [16] Johanson, Jan, and Jan-Erik Vahlne. "The Uppsala internationalization process model revisited: From liability of foreignness to liability of outsidership." In *International business strategy*, pp. 33-59. Routledge, 2009. [https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137508829\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137508829_7)
- [17] Zohari, Tony. "The Uppsala Internationalization Model and Its Limitation in the New Era." *digitProMag | Digital Professionals*, February 20, 2025. <https://www.digitpro.co.uk/the-uppsala-internationalization-model-and-its-limitation-in-the-new-era/>.
- [18] Tacy, Adam. "Den Hertog Services Innovation Model (4-dimensions, 2000)." *SolvInnov*, June 5, 2021. <https://solvinnov.com/den-hertog-model-for-describing-service-innovations/>.
- [19] KPMG. "2023 China Fintech 50 Report," 2024. <https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/cn/pdf/en/2024/01/china-fintech-50-2023.pdf>.
- [20] Edgar, Dunn & Company. "The Evolution of Chinese Fintech and Ant Group's Journey," 2024. <https://www.edgardunn.com/articles/fintech-on-the-move-unravelling-chinese-domestic-and-global-m-a-strategies>.
- [21] Statista. "Topic: Fintech in China," November 8, 2024. <https://www.statista.com/topics/6893/fintech-in-china/#topicOverview>.
- [22] Dunkley, Emma. "Asian Fintech Rises Above Global Investment Dip." *Financial Times*, April 6, 2023. <https://www.ft.com/content/72dbc66f-cc17-4562-8a41-0d1c06690690>.